Attendance:

VP Finance: Jesse Grist
General Officer: Jeffrey Pea
General Officer: Vanessa Li
MBIM Representative: Marta Mroczek
Member-at-Large: Parisa Roshanzamir
Member-at-Large: Ana Obrknezev
Astronomy Representative: Andreea Pirvu
Member-at-Large: Caroline Chang

Regrets:

Member-at-Large: David Zheng
Vice-Chair: Tammy Tsui
Integrated Science Representative: Tamara Nee

Called to Order: 4:47pm

Introduction

Combined Major In Science Student Association Club Op Review

Membership: (3/10)
- No membership list given
- Exec to general members ratio is quite large
- Input on year-long membership passes not given
- Some variety of years throughout exec
- Entire membership (all of CMS) is not well connected to club -> no indication of total true members

Events: (15/30)
- No description of upcoming events
- Not the best distribution of events
- Very little academic events, however this can be connected to the nature of the club
- Very social-based
- Low budget events with decent turnout

Budget: (13.5/30)
- Event costs are reasonable
- Budget has no breakdown however
- Very bare, unclear.

Rationale: (15/30)
- Quite short and general
- Not very much collaboration
• Membership Night is a quite common event and not unique

Total Score: 46.5/100
Amount to Grant: 46.5%*1000 = $465

Moved: Ana
Second: Marta

Approve – 7
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

Undergraduate Research Opportunities Club Op Review

Membership: (9/10)
• For a new club it has grown amazingly
• Mostly younger students
• Primarily science students
• Reasonable Fees

Events: (26/30)
• Some collaboration
• Primarily academic, but it is associated with the nature of the club
• Only three flagship events
• Turn out is good
• Nice descriptions

Budget: (27/30)
• Quite detailed, shows breakdown well
• Rely a lot on external grants
• Expenses are reasonable

Rationale: (30/30)
• Very convincing and well written
• Effortful
• Highlights growth
• Really highlights the purpose of the club

Total Score: 92/100
Amount to Grant: 92%*1000 = $920

*Jeff Pea conflict of interest*

Moved: Vanessa
Second: Andreaa
Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

**Environmental Sciences Student Association Club Op Review**

**Membership:** (7/10)
- Membership fee reasonable
- Decently distributed
- Year numbers not given

**Events:** (28/30)
- Description for events given
- Not a whole lot of academic events
- A whole bunch of career building opportunities and social events

**Budget:** (19/30)
- Huge discrepancy between expenses and revenue
- A lot of money going to trips limited to a few students

**Rationale:** (25/30)
- Well-written
- Broad statements, would prefer more detail
- Collaboration present

Total Score: 79/100
Amount to Grant: 79%*1000 = $790

Moved: Andreaa
Second: Ana

Approve – 7
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

**Heart and Stroke Foundation Club Op Review**

**Membership:** (8/10)
- All the information is present
- Execs not listed
- Membership fees reasonable

**Events:** (30/30)
- Great for the cause they are supporting
- Creative events
- Very detailed descriptions
- Vary in content throughout the year
- Low collaboration, but it is the nature of the club

**Budget:** (15/30)
- Excessive “Start Up Costs”
- T-Shirts Charged Twice For roughly $550
- Fundraising events are not listed
- Quite a lot of membership appreciation events, could be consolidated
- Revenues are slightly confusing mixed in to expenses

**Rationale:** (30/30)
- Very well-written and passionate writing
- Explains club purpose well
- Detailed
- No explanation of where grant money would go → Broad Statement

Total Score: 83/100
Amount to Grant: 83%*1000 = $830

Moved: Vanessa
Second: Parisa

Approve – 7
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries…

*Parisa Excused*

**Women In Science Club Op Review**

**Membership:** (8/10)
- Good variety of year levels
- A lot of members for a new club
- Membership fees reasonable
- Small Club

**Events:** (29/30)
- Detailed and well-formatted
- Good balance of social and academic
- Great mentorship opportunity
- Even distribution
- Low turnout

**Budget:** (28/30)
- Clear
- Detailed and brokendown
- Reasonable costs
- Could elaborate on the grants expected
Rationale: (25/30)
- Very Well-written
- Talked about the clubs purpose
- Touch upon important points such as their new projects
- Collaboration section is broad

Total Score: 90/100
Amount to Grant: 90%*1000 = $900

Moved: Ana
Second: Vanessa

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

*Ana Excused*

**Biological Science Society Club Op Review**

Membership: (6/10)
- Membership fees reasonable
- Spelling mistakes
- No detailed breakdown of distribution
- For a big major it is a small club

Events: (13.5/30)
- Not too many events/variety of events
- For a big major not very many events
- Only four events not sales related
- Not many biology related events
- Decent turnout

Budget: (27.5/30)
- Format causes some confusion
- Simplistic
- Costs are reasonable
- Would like more breakdown

Rationale: (14/30)
- Minimal effort
- Does not cater to the entire bio community as mentioned
- Collaboration present
- Not many events, as claimed
Total Score: 61/100  
Amount to Grant: 61% * 1000 = $610

Moved: Jeff  
Second: Vanessa

Approve – 5  
Oppose – 0  
Abstain – 0  

Carries...

Closing Remarks

Meeting Adjourned: 6:10pm