Attendance:

VP Finance: Jesse Grist
Vice-Chair: Tammy Tsui
General Officer: Jeffrey Pea
General Officer: Vanessa Li
Integrated Science Representative: Tamara Nee
Member-at-Large: David Zheng
Member-at-Large: Ana Obrknezev

Regrets:

MBIM Representative: Marta Mroczek
Astronomy Representative: Andreea Pirvu
Member-at-Large: Parisa Roshanzamir
Member-at-Large: Caroline Chang

Called to Order: 4:09PM

Introduction

PSA Club Op Review

Membership: (5/10)
- Only 17 science students in a club of 153 students
- New VP Science Academic
- Only 8 executives, not sure how 8 people can manage such a large club

Events: (22.5/30)
- Well balanced between academic and social events
- No collaboration
- Events all appear to be PSA specific
- Many redundant events
- Not certain about the attendance for each workshop/event
- Good timing for events

Budget: (21/30)
- Surplus
- Unmet needs, odd way to budget
- Funding from Psychology Department

Rationale: (25/30)
- Well written and persuasive
Effort to reach out to science students
Included VP Science Academics, but only two events to accommodate for science students at the moment
Addressed where the club stands between the two faculties and acknowledge that pressing issue

Total Score: 73.5/100
Amount to Grant: 73.5%*1000 = $735

Moved: Jeffrey
Second: Tamara

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

Melissa Friend Pro-D Review

- SUS Finance Committee approve $108.68 to Melissa Friend for the Professional Development Grant
- Funding 50% of the cost for her to attend the Geological Society of America Conference

Moved: Tamara
Second: Tammy

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

PSA Special Projects Review

- Requesting $500, SUS Finance Committee approve $250.00 to PSA for their special project
- PSA acquired some videography equipment for free recently
- Unclear as to whether they need to hire professional videographer and editor as oppose to students
- They have the intention of using the video to promote PSA for science students
- Expenses seems to be too extraordinary
- Excellent project, but it is still a facet of science students

Moved: Ana
Second: Vanessa

Approve – 6
CSSS Club Op Review

Membership: (7/10)
- A lot of members, but we are only given students’ student numbers due to confidentiality reasons despite it being a requirement
- Good distribution of members in different year level
- 8 executives, consisting of BSc, BA, BComm, for such a large club
- Assume club consist of mostly science students

Events: (26/30)
- Good variety of the different types of events
- Distributed throughout the year
- Description of each event is a bit lacking
- Collaborating with another club
- Year-End-Event is a boat cruise, $2000 budget is too high and despite it being their larger event, they only estimate 100 attendees
- Events are mainly open to all students

Budget: (16.5/30)
- Expecting $3000 and they still have a $2510 shortfall due to the Technical Career Fair
- Ambitious to expect $15000 in revenue for Food and Drinks (Barbeque, etc.)
- Unsatisfactory budget – not very clear or complete

Rationale: (25/30)
- Computer Science specific
- Want to hold more social events and to build awareness of the club
- Intend to collaborate with other science clubs
- Acknowledge that they stand between Science, Arts, and Commerce

Total Score: 74.5/100
Amount to Grant: 74.5%*1000 = 745

Moved: David
Second: Tamara

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...
Membership: (7.5/10)
- Newly found club
- Consist of only first and second years
- Good amount of members but lack variety
- Alumni group, but their executives are all second years so it appears as if no one older is continuing with it

Events: (25/30)
- Could use more collaboration with other clubs
- Not very inclusive events
- They want to have a support group for Science One
- A good portion of it is academic support from alumni
- A good variety of academic and social events and they appear to be well organized

Budget: (15/30)
- AMS format therefore incoherency
- Surplus of $230
- Explain their budget in events portion

Rationale: (30/30)
- Explain purpose – well written
- Good summary of what they plan to do
- Mention that they try to keep their budget cost neutral and sustainable
- Most of their events are free for a purpose

Total Score: 77.5/100
Amount to Grant: 77.5%*1000 = $775

Moved: Vanessa
Second: Tamara

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

Canadian Liver Foundation Club Op Review
Membership: (6/10)
- 91% science students
- Executives were not specified
- Majority of members are lower years, not the best distribution of year level
- Low membership (37) compared to past years, but they get more members throughout the year
Events: (30/30)
- Some education events, but not very academic focus, so mostly social events which in their purpose is fine
- Judging by past events, most of them are the same
- Impressive amount of events
- Good description and breakdown of events

Budget: (30/30)
- Cost of each event is low
- Charitable club so they donate surplus

Rationale: (28/30)
- Damaged furniture
- Nice formatting – clear to read but less formal
- Included a PowerPoint, but it is hard to read

Total Score: 94/100
Amount to Grant: 94% * 1000 = $940

Moved: Ana
Second: Vanessa

Approve – 6
Oppose – 0
Abstain – 0

Carries...

Closing Remarks

Meeting Adjourned: 5:25PM